

SEP 1.9 2011

Eleazer R. Carter, Esq. The Carter Law Firm P.O. Box 2073 Columbia, SC 29202

RE: MUR 6314

Gregory Brown

Gregory Brown for Congress and Carol Bausinger, in her official

capacity as treasurer

Dear Mr. Carter:

On September 15, 2011, the Federal Election Commission accepted the signed conciliation agreement and civil penalty submitted on behalf of your client, Gregory Brown for Congress and Carol Bausinger, in her official capacity as treasurer, in settlement of a violation of 2 U.S.C. §§ 433(a), 434(a), and 434(b), provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as an manded, and 11 C.F.R. § 104.12 of the Commission's Regulations. On March 25, 2011, you were sotified that the Commission found no reason to believe your client, Gregory Brown, violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(a). Accordingly, the entire file has been closed in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Folicy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009). Information derived in connection with any cuncillation attempt will not become public without the written consent of the respondent and the Commission See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(4)(B).

Enclosed you will find a copy of the fully executed conciliation agreement for your files. If you have any questions, please contact me at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely

Margaret Ritzert

Attorney

Enclosure
Conciliation Agreement

1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL EI	LECT	ION COMMISSION		2		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	In the matter of Gregory Brown for Congress and Carol Bausinger, in her official capacity as treasurer)	MUR 6314	DFFICE OF GENERA COUNSEL	2011 SEP -2 AM11: 14	COMMISSION	
10.	CONCILIATION	AGRI	EEMENT .	۳	+		
11	This matter was initiated by a signed, swon	n, and	notarized complaint b	y Melanie	Sloan		
12	and Citizens for Rosponsibility and Ethics in Washington, and pursuant to information						
13	assertained by the Federal Election Commission (Comm	nission") in the norms	d course of			
14	carrying cut its supervisory responsibilities. The C	Cemm	ission found mason to	believe th	at		
15	Gregory Brown for Congress and Carol Bausinger, in her official capacity as treasurer,						
·16	("Respondents" or "Committee") violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 433(a), 434(a), and 434(b), and						
17	11 C.F.R. § 104.12.						
18	NOW, THEREFORE, the Commission and the Respondents, having participated in						
19	informal methods of conciliation, prior to a finding	g of pr	obable cause to believ	e, do herel	y agr	æ	
20	as follows:			• •	•		
21	I. The Commission has jurisdiction over &	ie Res	pondents and the subj	ect matter	of this		
22	proseeding, and this agreement has the effect of an agreement entered pursuant to 2 U.S.C.						
23	§ 437g(a)(4)(A)(i).			·			
24 .	II. Respondents have had a reasonable opportunity to demonstrate that no action should						
25	be taken in this matter.	•					
26	III. Respondents enter voluntarily into this	s agree	ment with the Commi	ission.			
27	IV. The pertinent facts in this matter are a	s follo	Md.				

\$.

٠,

1. Gregory Brown was a candidate in the June 8, 2010, South Carolina primary election,

- 2 seeking the Democratic nomination to represent the state's Sixth Congressional District in the
- 3 U.S. House of Representatives.
- 4 2. Mr. Brown designated Gregory Brown for Congress and Carol Bausinger, in her
- 5 official capacity as treasurer, as his authorized principal campaign committee on February 1,
- 6 2010.

7

14

Statement of Organization

- 8 3. The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, ("the Act") requires a
- 9 candidate's authorized principal campaign committee to file a Statement of Organization within
- 10 ten days of designation. 2 U.S.C. § 433(a). As Mr. Brown designated the Committee as his
- principal campaign committee on February 1, 2010, the Committee should have filed its
- 12 Statement of Organization by February 11, 2010. Instead, the Committee filed its Statement of
- 13 Organization on April 26, 2010 74 days late.

Timeliness of Disclosure Reports

- 4. A principal campaign committee of a candidate for the House of Representatives, in
- any calendar year during which there is a regularly scheduled election for which the candidate is
- seeking election, shall file quarterly apports no later than the 15th day after the last day of each
- 18 calendar quarter. 2 U.ft.C. § 434(a)(2)(A)(iii). Furthermore, such committee shall file a pre-
- 19 election report no later than the 12th day before any election in which such candidate is seeking
- 20 election. 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(2)(A)(i).
- 21 5. The 2010 April Quarterly Report was due on April 15, 2010, and its 12-Day Pre-
- 22 Primary Report was due on May 27, 2010. The Committee filed its 2010 April Quarterly Report
- 23 on June 10, 2010 56 days late. The Committee did not file a 2010 12-Day Pre-Primary Report;

1	however, the activity during the same period was eventually disclosed in the 2010 July Quarterly
2	Report originally filed on June 10, 2010 and later amended on June 11 and June 20, 2010.
3	Content of Disclosure Reports

- 6. The Act requires political committees to report the amount of cash-on-hand at the beginning of the reporting period, as well as identify each person who makes aggregate contributions in excess of \$200 in an election cycle. 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(1) and (3). Commission regulations further clarify that committees which have cash-on-hand at the time of their registration shall disclose the sources of such funds on their first report. 11 C.F.R. § 104.12.

 The Act also requires that reports disclose the amount and nature of outstanding debts and obligations owed by the political committee, 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(8).
- 7. Mr. Brown deposited the initial funds in the Committee's account, and thereafter the
 Committee ran a negative balance. The Committee's first report filed with the Commission, the
 2010 April Quarterly Report, discloses an initial cash balance of \$10,000, but does not disclose
 Mr. Brown as the source of that initial cash balance. The Amended 2010 April Quarterly Report
 also discloses a negative ending cash-on-hand balance of \$54,118.45, and does not disclose any
 debts or obligations.
- 17 V. I. Restrement of U.S.C. § 433(a) by filing the Statement of Organization 74 days late.
- 2. Respondents violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(a) by filing the 2010 April Quarterly

 Report 56 days late and by failing to file the 2010 12-Day Pre-Primary Report.
- 3. Respondents violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.12 by failing to disclose an initial \$10,000 contribution from Mr. Brown and thereafter failing to accurately report its cash-on-hand and debt on its 2010 April Quarterly Report.

. 1	VI. 1. In ordinary circumstances, the Commission would seek a substantially higher
2	civil penalty based on the violations outlined in this agreement. However, the Commission is
3	taking into account the fact that the Committee is defunct, has no cash on hand according to the
4	evidence available, and has a limited ability to raise any additional funds. Respondents will pay
. 5	a civil penalty to the Commission in the amount of \$4,500, pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(5)(B)
6	2. Respondents will cease and desist committing violations of 2 U.S.C. §§ 433(a)
· 7	434(a), and 434(b), and 11 C.F.R. § 104.12.
8	3. Respondents will amend the relevant disclosure reports to accurately reflect
9	the contribution from Mr. Brown and debts and obligations referenced at paragraphs IV.7 and
10	V.3 within 30 days.
11	VII. The Commission, on request of anyone filing a complaint under 2 U.S.C.
· 12 ·	§ 437g(a)(1) concerning the matters at issue herein or on its own motion, may review compliance
. 13	with this agreement. If the Commission believes that this agreement or any requirement thereof
14	has been violated, it may institute a civil action for relief in the United States District Court for
15	the District of Columbia.
16	VIII. This agreement shall become effective as of the date that all parties hereto have
17	executed same and the Commission has approved the entire agreement.
18	IX. Respondents shall have no more than 30 days from the date this agreement becomes
19	effective to comply with and implement the requirements contained in this agreement and to so
20	notify the Commission.

- 1 X. This Conciliation Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties on 2 the matters raised herein, and no other statement, promise, or agreement, either written or oral,
- 3 made by either party or by agents of either party, that is not contained within this written
- 4 agreement shall be enforceable.
- 5 FOR THE COMMISSION:
- 6 P. Christopher Hughey
- 7 Acting General Counsel

8 BY:

10

Kathlaan

Acting Associate General Counsel

11 For Enforcement

12 FOR THE RESPONDENTS

13 14 Eleazer R. Car

15 Counsel

August 24,2011